



RESEARCH DEGREE EXAMINATION BOARD

PhD RE-EXAMINATION

Outline of the examination process
1. Research Degrees Examination Board appoints examiners 2. Thesis submitted by student and sent to examiners 3. Independent report submitted by each examiner within 8 weeks of receipt of thesis 4. Independent reports exchanged by b1544 reW*BT1 01 237.29218.54 Tmp156)0m65q86.

Candidate Name	
Degree Programme	
Thesis Title	

Internal Examiner	
External Examiner	
Third Examiner	
Date of <i>viva voce</i> Examination	

a)	performance during viva voce:

b) Basis for recommendation:

Was an independent chair required for the viva voce examination?

yes

No

conduct during the examination:

c)

c)	

Recommendation of Examiners

Please tick the relevant box:

Pass Categories (recommendations for the award of PhD)

1)

Non-pass Categories (recommendations where the PhD is not to be awarded)

4)	Award of the MPhil The thesis is not of doctoral standard and may not be resubmitted for the award of PhD, but the MPhil may be awarded according to one of the three pass categories above, the corrections to be approved by the examiners: MPhil unconditionally MPhil with minor corrections MPhil with corrections
5)	Fail The candidate be failed and not be permitted to revise and re-submit the thesis for examination.

It is University policy that the joint report of the examiners is released to the supervisor and candidate

If you do not wish this report to be released to either the supervisor, the candidate, or both, please state this below and outline the reasons why:

Corrections

In the case of corrections please give below any detailed requirements for the correction of the thesis. Pleased provide as full and detailed information as possible in order to assist the candidate in

Please provide any general comments you may have on the examination process, or how it might be improved, below: